

|   |                 |              |  |
|---|-----------------|--------------|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | Application No. | Applicant(s) |  |
|   | 10/006,406      | WAY ET AL.   |  |
|   | Examiner        | Art Unit     |  |
|   | Chirag G. Shah  | 2616         |  |

**All Participants:**

(1) Chirag G. Shah.

(2) Grant Rodolph, Reg. # 50,487.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 22 June 2006

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

*Claims 35 and 36*

Prior art documents discussed:


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*An agreement was reached between the Applicant and Examiner to cancel claims 35 and 36 via an Examiner's Amendment. Furthermore, Applicant agreed to file a Terminal Disclaimer for Case No. 6,272,553.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)